EMAIL 6
Rundown of Butts case with reporter

UNION DISTRICT CIRCUIT COURT
PROSECUTION INITIATED BY BRUCIE MOORE
COMMONWEALTH vs. MARVIN BUTTS

Facts of the Case:

On Tuesday September 20, 2011 Defendant David Jenkins, sporting a United Community Bank Polo shirt walked into Marvin's Jewelry and Repair Shop and sold the Plaintiff Marvin Butts two counterfeit gold bars for $3300.00 David Jenkins paid as little as $25.00 for FOUR gold bullion bars on Ebay. David Jenkins initially dealt with Tommie Butts, Marvin's wife, as Marvin waited on two customers at the far end of the counter.

Marvin weighed and measured the bars, but did not test them at this time because he did not want to diminish the value. Instead he called a friend of his who had been buying and selling gold much longer than Marvin, and enquired if he was familiar with the Buffalo bars. His friend advised that he was, and that it would be a good purchase. There seemed to be a misunderstanding between the two parties as Marvin was reference Buffalo bullion bars, and his friend inferred Buffalo bullion coins.

In any event, Marvin gave David Jenkins $3300 cash for the purchase of two bars. After the young mand left the story Marvin began researching his purchase and discovered that the bars were selling for as little at $5.00 apiece on Ebay. His wife immediately telephoned David Jenkins and asked him to return the money. When David Jenkins refused Marvin Butts called the Morganfield Police Department for their assistance. After Officer Jeffery Deibler heard what Marvin Butts had to say he telephoned David Jenkins and informed him he would be facing felony charges of theft by deception if he did not return money to Marvin Butts.

Officer Deibler told David Jenkins that Marvin did not want to press charges he only wanted his money returned. So after some persuasion by Officer Deibler David Jenkins reluctantly agreed to meet Officer Deibler at the store and returned the money. Marvin Butts at this time gave David Jenkins $20.00 for his troubles. After he returned money Officer Deibler and David Jenkins were outside the store talking when Rodman Meachum, the father-in-law of David Jenkins showed up at the store inquiring as to why David Jenkins had to return the money.

While Officer Deibler was outside talking to David Jenkins and Rodman Meechum he received a phone call from Detective Jimmy Lyons of the Union County Sherriff's Office wanting to know what was going on, and why Officer Deibler got involved in a civil matter. Officer Deibler initially advised Marvin the Lyons called and was chewing him out for making him return the money.

Detective Jimmy Lyons got involved after receiving a phone call from Rodman Meechum father-in-law of David Jenkins for help. Officer Jimmy Lyons felt that David Jenkins was the victim and he should not be forced to give the money back even though David Jenkins admitted to Detective Jimmy Lyons they he paid as little as $25.00 for four pieces of fake gold bars and sold two of them for $3300.00. Prior to returning the money to the store David Jenkins called Brucie

Moore on her personal cell phone while she was out shopping for her assistance in the matter because he did not feel he should have to return the money.

Office Deibler went back into Marvin's store after Rodum Meachum and David Jenkins had left. Officer Deibler then informed Marvin and Tommy about David Jenkins saying he called Brucie, and that he got chewed out by Detective Lyons for making him return the money.

Marvin had just installed a new non-audio survelance video system and he was insistent that Officer Deibler have a copy video for his records. When it was taking too long to upload, to Officer Deibler's flash drive, he said he wasn't worried about it, that it was done and over with. However, Marvin purchased a flash drive himself, and downloaded a copy of the video for Officer Deibler and dropped it off the next day at the police station for him.

What came next is the most ridiculous waste of tax payers money, and extreme abuse of power I have ever been involved with. On the same day Marvin Butts dropped flash drive off, September 21, 2011 Officer Deibler returned to the store stating that the County Attorney Brucie Moore got ahold of the video and wanted charges pressed against Marvin Butts for falsely reporting an incident. Officer Deibler told Marvin Butts that Brucie was the boss, and when Brucie said jump, everybody has to jump. Marvin testified to this at trial, and so did Officer Deibler.

Then Officer Deibler went outside of the store and wrote Marvin Butts a criminal citation for Falsely Reporting an Incident. The criminal citation was devoid of the necessary elements to meet a charge of Falsely Reporting and Incident, it further failed to even put Marvin Butts on notice as the what statements were allegedly false.

Officer Deibler also told Marvin Butts that it was just a criminal misdemeanor, and not really a big deal, so he should just go along with whatever Brucie Moore offered him. That she would probably just offer a deferment. On the following day, David Jenkins, telephoned Marvin Butts, to inform him that he was willing to give him one last chance to give him his money back, or he was going to file a complaint against him. Marvin informed him that he didn't owe him anything at all, to do what he had to do. Later that afternoon, Marvin was served with, a small claims complaint, filed by David Jenkins for embarrassment and bad business and requested the maximum small claims amount of $2500.00

On September 23, 2011 Marvin Butts subpoenaed Office Deibler to testify on his behalf in the small claims complaint filed by David Jenkins because he truly believed that Officer Deibler was on his side, and was just doing what Brucie Moore instructed him to do. The criminal arraignment was set for October 13, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. the small claims complaint was set for October 13, 2011 at 1:00 p.m.

Marvin called me and wanted to retain my services in small claims complaint filed by David Jenkins, but stated that he wasn't worried about the criminal citation because Officer Deibler told him that it was just a misdemeanor and that Brucie was going to offer him a deferment. After I told him the ramifications of taking any sort of deal or deferment in the misdemeanor charge, Marvin Butts plead not guilty to the criminal citation. In the state of Kentucky, a plea or deferment to a criminal charge can be used as conclusive proof of

allegation, thus allowing David Jenkins an automatic Judgment for $2500 against Marvin for embarrassment.

The criminal citation never even stated what exactly Marvin supposedly stated was false. Marvin subpoenaed Officer Deibler to testify on his behalf in small claims case but Officer Deibler showed up to testify for David Jenkins. No doubt, because Bruce told him to.

I was able to get the Small Claim Complaint dismissed for lack of jurisdiction but only after David Jenkins alleged in open court a conversion charge against Marvin Butts. When David Jenkins realized that I was correct and the Court was going to dismiss his complaint all together for lack of jurisdiction, he attempts to state that he has no way of knowing if Marvin returned to him the gold bars that he actually took in there. Keep in mind that Officer Deibler, Jimmy Lyons, and Brucie Moore all knew that Jenkins paid less than $25.00 for four gold bars and sold two of them for $3300. Yet they prosecuted Marvin Butts for Falsely reporting an incident, because in their opinion the non-audio video shows that David Jenkins did not intentionally sale two fake gold bars.

The ridiculousness of the charge prompted me to call Jimmy Lyons and talk to Brucie Moore prior to even arraignment. I was certain that I had to be missing some of the facts. They didn't even have the necessary elements to support the crime he was even charged with. Detective Jimmy Lyons told me that he personally advised David Jenkins to call and try to make a deal with Marvin Butts to give him the money back. Lyons further confirmed he knew the price David Jenkins gave for the bars. He testified under oath to this as well during course of a prelim hearing on my motion to suppress a police report that Officer Deibler turned over as evidence in the case.

Officer Deibler backed dated the report to the day of the incident, September 21, 2011. He testified under oath on December 8, 2011 when I first tried to have the BOGUS charge dismissed. He testified to sequence of events that occurred that day. But did not fill out the police report until five months after the date of the call. The report included a 2 page summary which attempted to support Officer Deibler's previously given testimony on December 8, 2011.

I went to police academy and worked as a 911 dispatcher during undergrad. Therefore, I knew that the incident report number generated by the CAD system beginning with 12--#### meant that the report was not filled out until 2012, not on September 21, 2011 as he put on the report.

During the December 8, 2011, Motion to Dismiss Hearing, Officer Deibler made several conflicting misrepresentations and perjured himself multiple times. Despite this the Motion to Dismiss was denied and trial was scheduled to take place on March 9, 2012. Plaintiff requested discovery on January 29, 2012. On March 5, 2012 in response to the discovery received from the Commonwealth, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Suppress Officer Deibler's testimony for perjury, and the KYIBRS report filed by Officer Deibler. Officer Deibler filed a KYIBRS Report more than five months after the incident and list the report the same day of the transaction.

Commonwealth called Detective Jimmy Lyons to the suppression hearing in attempt to bolster Officer Deibler's testimony, but his testimony directly contradicted everything Officer Deibler

had previously testified too. Jimmy Lyons said that he did not like businesses like Marvin Butt's, or pawn shops, salvage yards, recycle centers or check cashing places doing any type of business in his county. Detective Lyons based his decision and the advice he gave Officer Deibler based only on David Jenkins side because he knew him having previously worked with him in his capacity as a Security Manager (IT Specialist) of a local bank. Brucie Moore also has served as a board member of the local bank where David Jenkins is employed.

At trial David Jenkins made numerous misrepresentations to the court and jury, including testifying that he filed his small claims complaint about a month after the incident and only recanted his version of events after he was presented with a copy of his complaint that was filed the day following the criminal citation.

Office Deibler also made many representations, and perjured himself multiple times in connection with the testimony that he had previously given under oath. I confronted him with video of previously given testimony, there was nowhere to hide.

Also the video shows Megan Randolph, the assistant County attorney showing Officer Deibler a piece of paper and trying to tell him what to say while he testified.

After a 10 month BOGUS prosecution which could have landed Marvin Butts in jail for up to a year, the jury returned A NOT GUILTY verdict in under 7 minutes flat.

This RIDICULOUS case led me to file a Federal 1983 Cause of action against Brucie Moore, Jimmy Lyons, David Jenkins and Geoffry Deibler, Megan Randolph for malicious prosecution,(improper use of state prosecution power) abuse of process, and other state law tort claims.

Lyons, Randolph, Moore all had the same attorney. Officer Deibler had his own, and David Jenkins had his own. As you will see in filings contained in the jump drive under the Butts Folder, initially Officer Deibler was ready to cut ties with the charade. In his answer to my paragraph 37, he specifically admitted that it was Brucie Moore that wanted the BS charged filed.

My closing at trial was essentially centered around the phrase "something for nothing." So when the Indiana man you interviewed made this exact assertion, it rang home.

Obviously there is a lot more to this case, but this is the highlights of Brucie Moore using her political power to help extort money for the people she deems a benefit to her in some way or a "whose who" of the County. What is even sadder is, you would only have to talk to Marvin Butts one time to figure out that he is not all there. Marvin is a nice man, but he is very slow. I have no doubt that David Jenkins, Brucie Moore, Jimmy Lyons, had full intentions on taking advantage of a slow man simply because that is the type of people they are. Initially, I feel Officer Deibler was on Marvin's side buy ultimately caved into the pressure of the powers that be namely Brucie Moore. The former Morganfield Chief of Police, John Shofner told Marvin that Officer Deibler was not his problem, that he was only following directions and his problems come from much higher up. Despite being the Chief of Police, he personally told Marvin to not

make a deal, and to fight them all the way. He was not a yes mam, and it wasn't long after that they found a reason to fire him.

If you don't know by now, if you don't kiss the ring, Brucie will find a way to get rid of you, or beat you down until you go away. She can do this because she controls the county and the Judges. I have never seen such corruption and out right gumption from attorneys and judges who thinks that they can make up rules as they go along, to suit their own purpose.

The Federal case was ridiculous to say the least. Charlie Moore, Brucie's husband has worked with the federal judge for years. The judge also attempted to say that David Jenkins was the innocent party, and that because the trial court found probable cause, then it existed. You will see this in the filings and I will help try and explain the legal aspects of it when we meet.

As I stated before this was the most ridiculous case for a clear cut abuse of power that defiles all common sense than I have ever witnessed.

However, nothing comes close to what Brucie Moore, and Judge Williams, Steven Downey did to the Chandler family of Crittenden County. It is by far the most tragic heart wrenching EXTREME ABUSE OF POWER, where all of them not only behaved unethically, they behaved criminally. Since they know they have stepped out of line, they have consistently tried keeping the Court of Appeals or the KBA of learning of their actions. Brucie Moore concealed a $36,000 401K, failed to include it on inventory, and had it from Keith Chandlers employment file at Raylock in attempt to prevent me from discovering it. Both Downey and Brucie misappropriation of estate funds, that alone warrants disbarment.

I will send you more information on the case tomorrow, to keep you busy until next week. What is your mailing address? If I get flash drive completed, I will overnight it to you. Should have it by Monday.

In a nut shell, with the help of Brucie, Downey, and the Judge, two con-artist stole 300 acres of a family farm that has been in the Chandler Family for over 200 years. IF that was not bad enough on its own. They allowed and helped these strangers take all of the Chandler Family pictures, family heirlooms, all family records, any and all sentimental items belonging to Steve Chandler.

Prior to even filing my case, roughly 45 family and friends of the Chandler family expressed their concerns to me about Brucie Moore being on the other side. Apparently, it is common knowledge, he who hires Brucie wins all. The Judge makes up non-existing rules to give Brucie her victory. You will most certainly be intrigued and appalled by what has taken place. The case involves a 46 year old man found naked in the basement of his home, by the Defendant. Autopsy report came back inconclusive because his body was coated in embalming fluid and powder. The Defendant Randy Fish, father of the beneficiary of the will, and former client of Brucie Moore, was a Union County Deputy Coroner at the time. He would know how to conceal a murder. Many family members feel that they did something to him, but the County and Brucie was too busy trying to get their hands on his farm, there is no way they would investigate a murder.

My publisher and I have been working on a book/blog... we have worked on this article somewhat, but has not been published yet... but I will send the intro we have so far. I feel so strongly about the EXTREME INJUSTICE THAT has taken place in Steve's case, if the Court of Appeals does not give him a new trial, without Downey, or Brucie, in front of a neutral judge, I will drive to Frankfort Kentucky, and walk straight in to see Kentucky Supreme Court and return my Kentucky bar license. When you see the videos and filings, you will understand why I am saying that. If they don't give new trial, then you have the story of me turning in my license because the State judicial system is past the point of repair and is just far too corrupt to work in.

Feel free to share your thoughts or concerns after reading the synopsis of Butts and the Chandler papers I will email tomorrow. If you have any questions or want to discuss these matters, feel free to call or email any time.

See you soon. I look forward to working with you.