COMMONWEALTH OF Kentucky
CRITTENDEN CIRCUIT COURT
CASE NO. 15-CI-0033

STEVE CHANDLER **PLAINTIFF**

VS.

WILLIAM ROBINSON, INDIVIDUALLY, AND
AS EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF HAROLD KEITH CHANLDER

RANDY FISH, INDIVIDUALLY, AS
TRUSTEE AND NEXT OF KIN PAYTON FISH, MINOR

BRUCIE MOORE, INDIVIDUALLY
AND OF MOORE AND WALLACE

STEVEN D. DOWNEY, INDIVIDUALLY
AND OF LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN D. DOWNEY

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider Alter/Amend Denial of Recusal Pursuant to KRS 26A.015 And Judicial Conduct Canons Rule 4.300.

There are a number of cases involving the parties herein in both the Crittenden District and Circuit Courts. There are also matters pending in the Court of Appeals, specifically Case Number 2014-CA-000963 which is an appeal from a jury verdict in Crittenden Circuit Case # 11-CI-000150. In that case, the Petitioner filed a motion for recusal with the Kentucky Supreme Court which was denied and filed of record in that case on 12/19/2013. The Court of Appeals discussed this issue in their Opinion Affirming the Jury Verdict and rendered on February 17, 2017. The Petitioner sought recusal once again in the case at bar which this Court denied on April 6, 2017. This motion to reconsider was filed on April 18,

ENTERED 5-25-17
CRITTENDEN CIRCUIT/
DISTRICT COURT
MELISSA GUILL, CLERK

2017 although this Court was unaware of same until it did a search via Courtnet and discovered it had been filed electronically. This Court received no notice or copy of the motion until that search.

The Petitioner asserts that this Court has shown bias in favor of Attorney Brucie Moore who is a named Defendant is this case and was the Defendants original attorney in the 11-CI-00150 Case. (Except Hon. Steven Downey was not involved in the beginning of that case). The Petitioner raises, for the first time in the Motion to Reconsider, issues regarding Ms. Moore's representation of United Community Bank in Foreclosure actions while also being the Union County Attorney. As stated in all previous Orders, there is no bias or prejudice on the part of the Court in these matters as has been confirmed by the appellate Courts. The rulings issued by this Court have primarily involved issues of law with the main one being the time frame for which a testator's competency to execute a will is to be evaluated. As a result of this Court's ruling on that issue, some of the purported evidence sought to be allowed into evidence by the Petitioner was excluded from trial. The Petitioner disagreed with that ruling as well as others and exercised his appellate rights and continues to do so.

This Court has not discussed any of the pending matters with any party in these matters nor any of the attorneys. This Court has not orchestrated nor engaged in any fraudulent practices involving any matters before this Court within in the 5th Judicial Circuit. This Court has no knowledge of any federal or state investigation into any matters other than the statements asserted by the Petitioner through his Counsel that there are pending investigations. This Court is unaware of any complaints filed by any citizen or attorneys regarding Ms. Moore's representation of United Community Bank. This Court has received no information or knowledge of any attorney involved engaging in a violation of the Kentucky Rules of Professional Conduct.

This Court has always, and will continue to do so, strived to render rulings based on the applicable law involving issues in the cases pending before it.

Therefore, the Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider Alter or Amend its Order of April 6, 2017 is OVERRULED.

This the 25 day of May, 2017.

Rene' Williams

Rene' Williams, Circuit Judge