IT SEEMED AS THOUGH TRUTH AND VERACITY OF THE RECORD WAS OF NO CONCERN TO COURT OF APPEALS

→ EACH AND EVERY SINGLE ATTEMPT TO CORRECT THE RECORD BY WAY OF REPLY WAS ALWAYS DENIED.

DOWNEY WAS ALWAYS GIVEN THE LAST WORD!!!

Commonwealth of Kentucky

Court of Appeals

NO. 2014-CA-000963-MR

STEVE CHANDLER

APPELLANT

v.

APPEAL FROM CRITTENDEN CIRCUIT COURT
ACTION NO. 11-CI-00150

WILLIAM ROBINSON, ET AL.

APPELLEES

ORDER

* * * * * *

BEFORE: D. LAMBERT, MAZE, AND THOMPSON, JUDGES.

The Court, having considered the appellant's motion to continue the period of abeyance and the appellees' motion to terminate the period of abeyance, ORDERS that the motion to terminate the period of abeyance be GRANTED, the motion to continue the period of abeyance be DENIED, and that this appeal be returned to the Court's active docket. Within 20 days of the date of entry of this order, the clerk of the circuit court is DIRECTED to CERTIFY the record on appeal and to NOTIFY the parties to the appeal and the Clerk of this Court the date on which the record is certified. The appellant shall file with the Clerk of this Court a brief in substantial compliance with CR 76.12 within 60 days of the notification of the certification of the record. The Clerk of this Court shall send to the parties the Checklists for Briefs in the Court of Appeals.

Having considered the appellant's motion for leave to file a reply to the appellees' response to the motion to amend the notice of appeal and prehearing statement and the response to the motion for leave to file a reply, and having been otherwise sufficiently advised, the Court ORDERS that the motion be DENIED. The Court, having considered the appellant's motion to amend the notice of appeal and prehearing statement and the response thereto, and having been otherwise sufficiently advised, ORDERS the motion to amend be PASSED to the panel considering this appeal on its merits.

The Court, having considered the appellant's motion to strike the appellees' response to the motion for leave to file a brief exceeding the page limitation or, in the alternative, for leave to file a reply, and the response to the motion, and having been otherwise sufficiently advised, ORDERS that the motion be DENIED. Having further considered the appellant's motion for leave to file a brief exceeding the page limitation and the response thereto, and having been otherwise sufficiently advised, the Court ORDERS that the motion be GRANTED IN PART as follows: the appellant's brief and the appellees' brief shall be limited to 35 pages each, exclusive of the introduction, statement of points and authorities, exhibits and appendices. The parties are cautioned that all filings in this Court must be objective, based on the record, and without personal attacks.

ENTERED: MAY 18 2015

JUDGE, COURT OF APPEALS